arXiv:1110.4975v2 [math.CO] 2 Feb 2012

A SPECTRAL EQUIVALENT CONDITION OF THE P-POLYNOMIAL PROPERTY FOR ASSOCIATION SCHEMES

HIROTAKE KURIHARA, HIROSHI NOZAKI

Abstract. We give an equivalent condition of the P-polynomial property of symmetric association schemes.

1. Introduction

Let $\Gamma = (X, E)$ denote a simple and finite graph of order n. The *path distance* between two vertices x and y is represented by $\partial(x, y)$. The *diameter* $\max\{\partial(x, y) \mid x, y \in X\}$ of Γ is denoted by $D(\Gamma) = D$. Let $\Gamma_i(x)$ be the set of vertices at distance i from x, for $0 \leq i \leq D$. In particular we focus on $\Gamma_D(x)$ in this paper, and the size of $\Gamma_D(x)$ is called the *excess of* x.

A matrix A with rows and columns indexed by X is called the *adjacency matrix* of Γ when the (x, y)-entries of A satisfy

$$A(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \partial(x,y) = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The *spectrum* of Γ is the set of distinct eigenvalues of A together with their multiplicities, and it is denoted by $\mathrm{Spec}\,(\Gamma) = \{\theta_0^{m_0}, \theta_1^{m_1}, \ldots, \theta_d^{m_d}\}$, where $\theta_0 > \theta_1 > \cdots > \theta_d$. The spectrum of a graph can give several properties of the graph. For example, we can know the regularity ($\sum_{i=0}^{d} m_i \theta_i^2 = n\theta_0 = nk$) and moreover if the graph is regular, the number of components is m_0, cf. [2]. Nevertheless the distance-regularity is not determined only by the spectrum of a graph. Fiol–Garriga [5] gave a characterization of the distance-regularity using the excesses and the predistance polynomials determined by the spectra of graphs, and this result is called a spectral excess theorem. After this work other descriptions of the spectral excess theorem were obtained by van Dam [3] and Fiol–Gago–Garriga [6].

An association scheme is a finite set with a higher regularity of relations among the elements of the set. The properties of association schemes are studied by many researchers, cf. [4, 1]. In particular a P-polynomial association scheme is an interesting scheme which is closely related to the theory of orthogonal polynomials. Moreover P-polynomial association schemes are identified with distance-regular graphs.

In this paper, we give two characterizations of the P-polynomial property of symmetric association schemes. The notation in the following theorems is prepared in Section 2.

Date: 2 Febrary, 2012.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 05E30, Secondary 05C50.

Key words and phrases. P-polynomial association scheme, distance-regular graph, graph spectrum, spectral excess theorem, predistance polynomial.

Both of authors are supported by JSPS Research Fellowship.

First we show a spectral equivalent condition of the P-polynomial property for association schemes.

Theorem 1.1. *Let* $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \{R_i\}_{i=0}^d)$ *be a symmetric association scheme of class* d. *Suppose that* $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$ *are mutually distinct. Then the following are equivalent:*

(*1*) $\mathfrak{X}$ *is a* P*-polynomial association scheme with respect to the first adjacency matrix* A_1.

(*2*) *There exists* $l \in \{0, 1, \dots, d\}$ *such that for each* $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$,

$$\prod_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^{d} \frac{\theta_0 - \theta_j}{\theta_i - \theta_j} = -Q_i(l). \tag{1.1}$$

Moreover if (*2*) *holds, then* A_l *is the* d*-th adjacency matrix.*

In previous research [7], we have a characterization of the Q-polynomial property of symmetric association schemes. Later Nomura–Terwilliger [8] gave a linear algebraic generalization of the result of the Q-polynomial property. In their paper, they also obtained a similar result for the P-polynomial property. Indeed Theorem 1.1 comes from their result. In the present paper, we give a simple proof of Theorem 1.1 based on that in [7].

We have the equality $Q_i(l) = m_i P_l(i)/k_l$, cf. [1, Theorem 3.5 in Chapter 2]. If (2) in Theorem 1.1 holds, then $l = d$. Since k_d and $P_d(i)$ are eigenvalues of A_d, we need only the spectral information of A_1 and A_d to check the P-polynomial property. Therefore we regard this characterization as an excess theorem for symmetric association schemes.

For a connected regular graph, the value corresponding to the left-hand side in (1.1) is expressed by using the predistance polynomials. We show another characterization of the P-polynomial property of a symmetric association scheme $(X, \{R_i\}_{i=0}^d)$ by the property of the predistance polynomials of the graph (X, R_1).

Theorem 1.2. *Let* $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \{R_i\}_{i=0}^d)$ *be a symmetric association scheme of class* d *such that* $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$ *are mutually distinct. Let* $\{p_i\}_{i=0}^d$ *be the predistance polynomial of the graph* (X, R_1). *Then the following are equivalent:*

(*1*) $\mathfrak{X}$ *is a* P*-polynomial association scheme with respect to* A_1.

(*2*) *There exists* $l \in \{0, 1, \dots, d\}$ *such that* $p_d(\theta_h) = P_l(h)$ *holds for each* $h \in \{0, 1, \dots, d\}$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. **Association schemes.** We begin with a review of basic definitions concerning association schemes. The reader is referred to Bannai–Ito [1] for the background material.

A *symmetric association scheme* $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \{R_i\}_{i=0}^d)$ *of class* d consists of a finite set X and a set $\{R_i\}_{i=0}^d$ of binary relations on X satisfying

(1) $R_0 = \{(x, x) \mid x \in X\}$,

(2) $\{R_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is a partition of $X \times X$,

(3) ${}^tR_i = R_i$ for each $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, d\}$, where ${}^tR_i = \{(y, x) \mid (x, y) \in R_i\}$,

(4) the numbers $|\{z \in X \mid (x, z) \in R_i \text{ and } (z, y) \in R_j\}|$ are constant whenever $(x, y) \in R_k$, for each $i, j, k \in \{0, 1, \dots, d\}$.

Note that the numbers $|\{z \in X \mid (x,z) \in R_i \text{ and } (z,y) \in R_j\}|$ are called the *intersection numbers* and denoted by $p^k_{i,j}$. In particular $k_i := p^0_{i,i}$ is the *valency* of R_i. Let $M_X(\mathbb{C})$ denote the algebra of matrices over the complex field $\mathbb{C}$ with rows and columns indexed by X. The i-th *adjacency matrix* A_i in $M_X(\mathbb{C})$ of $\mathfrak{X}$ is defined by

$$A_i(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (x,y) \in R_i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $\delta_{i,j} = 1$ if $i = j$, $\delta_{i,j} = 0$ otherwise. For $M, N \in M_X(\mathbb{C})$, $M \circ N$ denotes the Hadamard product, that is, the entry-wise matrix product. From the definition of association schemes, it follows that

(A1) $A_0 = I$, where I is the identity matrix,
(A2) $A_0 + A_1 + \cdots + A_d = J$, where J is the all-one matrix, and $A_i \circ A_j = \delta_{i,j} A_i$ for $i, j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, d\}$,
(A3) ${}^tA_i = A_i$ for each $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, d\}$,
(A4) $A_i A_j = \sum_{i=0}^{d} p^k_{i,j} A_k$, for each $i, j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, d\}$.

The vector space $\mathfrak{A}$ spanned by $\{A_i\}_{i=0}^d$ over $\mathbb{C}$ forms a commutative algebra by (A4), and is called the *Bose–Mesner algebra* of $\mathfrak{X}$. It is well known that $\mathfrak{A}$ is semi-simple (cf. [1, Section 2.3]), hence $\mathfrak{A}$ has a second basis $E_0, E_1, \ldots, E_d$ satisfying the following conditions:

(E1) $E_0 = \frac{1}{|X|} J$.
(E2) $E_0 + E_1 + \cdots + E_d = I$ and $E_i E_j = \delta_{i,j} E_i$.
(E3) ${}^tE_i = E_i$ for each $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, d\}$.
(E4) $E_i \circ E_j = \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{i=0}^{d} q^k_{i,j} E_k$ for some real numbers $q^k_{i,j}$, for each $i, j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, d\}$.

$E_0, E_1, \ldots, E_d$ are the primitive idempotents of the Bose–Mesner algebra $\mathfrak{A}$. We call $m_i := \operatorname{rank} E_i$ the *multiplicity* of $\mathfrak{X}$. The *first eigenmatrix* $P = (P_i(j))_{j,i=0}^d$ and the *second eigenmatrix* $Q = (Q_i(j))_{j,i=0}^d$ of $\mathfrak{X}$ are defined by

$$A_i = \sum_{j=0}^{d} P_i(j) E_j \text{ and } E_i = \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{j=0}^{d} Q_i(j) A_j,$$

respectively. Note that $\{P_i(j)\}_{j=0}^d$ and $\{m_j\}_{j=0}^d$ correspond to the spectrum of the graph (X, R_i). In particular, we use the notation $\theta_i = P_1(i)$ for $0 \le i \le d$.

A symmetric association scheme is called a *P-polynomial scheme* (or a *metric scheme*) with respect to the ordering $\{A_i\}_{i=0}^d$, if for each $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, d\}$, there exists a polynomial v_i of degree i, such that $P_i(j) = v_i(P_1(j))$ for any $j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, d\}$. Moreover, a symmetric association scheme is called a P-polynomial scheme with respect to A_1 if the symmetric association scheme has the P-polynomial property with respect to some ordering $A_0, A_1, A_{\xi_2}, A_{\xi_3}, \ldots, A_{\xi_d}$. Dually, a symmetric association scheme is called a *Q-polynomial scheme* (or a *cometric scheme*) with respect to the ordering $\{E_i\}_{i=0}^d$, if for each $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, d\}$, there exists a polynomial v_i^* of degree i, such that $Q_i(j) = v_i^*(Q_1(j))$ for any $j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, d\}$. Note that v_i and v_i^* form systems of orthogonal polynomials. We introduce known equivalent conditions of the P-polynomial property of symmetric association schemes [1, Proposition 1.1 in Chapter 3].

Theorem 2.1. *The following are equivalent.*

(1) $\mathfrak{X}$ is a P-polynomial scheme with respect to the ordering $\{A_i\}_{i=0}^d$.

(2) *There exist polynomials* v_i *of degree* i *for each* i*, such that* $A_i = v_i(A_1)$.
(3) $(p^k_{1,j})^d_{j,k=0}$ *is an irreducible tridiagonal matrix.*

2.2. **The predistance polynomials of graphs.** Let $\Gamma = (X, E)$ be a connected regular graph with the adjacency matrix A and the spectrum

$$\operatorname{Spec}(\Gamma) = \{\theta_0^{m_0}, \theta_1^{m_1}, \dots, \theta_d^{m_d}\}.$$

Let $Z(t) = \prod_{i=0}^d (t - \theta_i) \in \mathbb{R}[t]$. We define the inner product

$$\langle p, q\rangle = \frac{1}{|X|}\sum_{i=0}^d m_i p(\theta_i) q(\theta_i)$$

for $p, q \in \mathbb{R}[t]/(Z)$. The *predistance polynomials* $p_0, p_1, \dots, p_d$ of Γ are the unique polynomials satisfying $\deg p_i = i$ and $\langle p_i, p_j\rangle = \delta_{i,j} p_i(\theta_0)$ for $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, d\}$. It is well known that $p_i(\theta_0) > 0$ for any $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, d\}$, cf. [3].

Put $h_i(t) = \prod_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^d (t - \theta_i)$, for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$. These polynomials have degree $d - 1$, hence they are orthogonal to p_d. Thus,

$$0 = |X|\langle p_d, h_i\rangle = \sum_{j=0}^d m_j p_d(\theta_j) h_i(\theta_j) = p_d(\theta_0) h_i(\theta_0) + m_i p_d(\theta_i) h_i(\theta_i),$$

which implies that

$$\prod_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^d \frac{\theta_0 - \theta_j}{\theta_i - \theta_j} = -\frac{m_i p_d(\theta_i)}{p_d(\theta_0)} \tag{2.1}$$

for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

First, we prove that (2) follows from (1) in Theorem 1.1. Suppose $\mathfrak{X}$ is a P-polynomial association scheme with respect to A_1. Let $\{A_i\}_{i=0}^d$ be the P-polynomial ordering. For each $i \in \{1, 2 \dots, d\}$, we put $\kappa_i = \prod_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^d (\theta_0 - \theta_j)/(\theta_i - \theta_j)$, and define the matrix

$$M_i^* := \prod_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^d \frac{A_1 - \theta_j I}{\theta_i - \theta_j}$$

in $\mathfrak{A}$. Since M_i^* is a polynomial in A_1 of degree $d - 1$, we have

$$M_i^* \circ A_d = 0. \tag{3.1}$$

On the other hand, we consider the expansion of M_i^* in terms of the primitive idempotents $\{E_i\}_{i=0}^d$. Then we have

$$M_i^* E_j = \begin{cases} \kappa_i E_0 & \text{if } j = 0, \\ E_i & \text{if } j = i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This means that $M_i^* = \kappa_i E_0 + E_i$. Hence, by (3.1), it follows that $E_i \circ A_d = -\kappa_i A_d/|X|$, that is, $Q_i(d) = -\kappa_i$. Therefore the desired result follows.

Conversely, we prove that (1) follows from (2) in Theorem 1.1. First we prepare the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.1 (cf. [7])**.** *For mutually distinct* $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_d$*, the following formal identity holds:*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d} \beta_i^h \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k\neq i}}^{d} \frac{x-\beta_k}{\beta_i-\beta_k} = x^h$$

for all $h \in \{0, 1, \dots, d-1\}$*.*

We say that A_j appears in an element M of $\mathfrak{A}$ if there exists a non-zero complex number α such that $M \circ A_j = \alpha A_j$. Let N_h^* denote the set of indices j such that A_j appears in A_1^h but does not appears in A_1^l for each $0 \le l \le h-1$. We remark that $N_0^* = \{0\}$ and $N_1^* = \{1\}$. Moreover, if θ_0 is distinct from $\{\theta_j\}_{j=1}^d$, then $J = |X| \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{A_1 - \theta_j I}{\theta_0 - \theta_j}$, that is, $\{0, 1, \dots, d\} = \bigcup_{h=0}^d N_h^*$ (a disjoint union).

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose* $\mathfrak{X}$ *is a symmetric association scheme of class* d *satisfying* θ_0 *is distinct from* $\{\theta_j\}_{j=1}^d$*. Then, the following are equivalent.*

(*1*) $\mathfrak{X}$ *is a* P*-polynomial scheme with respect to* A_1*.*

(*2*) $\bigcup_{h=0}^{d-1} N_h^* \neq \{0, 1, \dots, d\}$*.*

Proof. Suppose $\mathfrak{X}$ is a P-polynomial scheme with respect to A_1. Let $\{A_i\}_{i=0}^d$ be the P-polynomial ordering. Then, there exist polynomials v_i of degree i, such that $v_i(A_1) = A_i$ for any i by Theorem 2.1. This implies that $N_i^* = \{i\}$ for any i, that is, $\bigcup_{h=0}^{d-1} N_h^* = \{0, 1, \dots, d-1\}$.

Suppose $\bigcup_{h=0}^{d-1} N_h^* \neq \{0, 1, \dots, d\}$ holds. Since $\bigcup_{h=0}^{d} N_h^* = \{0, 1, \dots, d\}$, it follows N_d^* is not empty. If N_i^* is empty for some i, then N_{i+1}^* is also empty. This means that if N_d^* is not empty, then N_i^* is not empty for any i. Since $N_0^*, N_1^*, \dots, N_d^*$ are disjoint, N_i^* has size 1 for each $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, d\}$. Put $N_i^* = \{\xi_i\}$ and order $A_{\xi_0}, A_{\xi_1}, \dots, A_{\xi_d}$. Then, we can construct polynomials v_i of degree i such that $v_i(A_1) = A_{\xi_i}$. The first statement follows from Theorem 2.1. □

Remark 3.3. From the proof of Lemma 3.2, an ordering of a P-polynomial association scheme with respect to A_1 is uniquely determined.

Let us return to prove that (1) follows from (2) in Theorem 1.1. We have

$$A_1^h = \frac{\theta_0^h}{|X|} J + \sum_{i=1}^d \theta_i^h E_i.$$

By our assumption, it follows that

$$E_i \circ A_l = \frac{Q_i(l)}{|X|} A_l = -\frac{1}{|X|} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j\neq i}}^{d} \frac{\theta_0 - \theta_j}{\theta_i - \theta_j} A_l.$$

By Lemma 3.1,

$$A_1^h \circ A_l = \left(\frac{\theta_0^h}{|X|} J + \sum_{i=1}^d \theta_i^h E_i \right) \circ A_l = \frac{1}{|X|} \left(\theta_0^h - \sum_{i=1}^d \theta_i^h \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j\neq i}}^{d} \frac{\theta_0 - \theta_j}{\theta_i - \theta_j} \right) A_l = 0$$

for every $h \le d-1$. This means that l is not an element of N_h^* for every $h \le d-1$. By Lemma 3.2, there exists an ordering $\{A_{\xi_i}\}_{i=0}^d$ such that $\mathfrak{X}$ is a P-polynomial scheme with respect to $\{A_{\xi_i}\}_{i=0}^d$. Moreover we have $\xi_d = l$. Therefore the desired result follows.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

First, we prove that (2) follows from (1) in Theorem 1.2. Suppose $\mathfrak{X}$ is a P-polynomial association scheme with respect to A_1. Let $\{A_i\}_{i=0}^d$ be the P-polynomial ordering, and $\{v_i\}_{i=0}^d$ be the polynomials which give the P-polynomial property of $\mathfrak{X}$. Now we have

$$v_i(A_1) \circ v_j(A_1) = A_i \circ A_j = \delta_{i,j} A_i = \delta_{i,j} v_i(A_1). \tag{4.1}$$

For $M \in M_X(\mathbb{C})$, we define $\tau(M) = \sum_{x,y \in X} M(x,y)$. Then $\tau(M \circ N) = \mathrm{tr}\,({}^tMN)$ holds for $M, N \in M_X(\mathbb{C})$, cf. [1, Lemma 3.2 in Chapter 2]. We obtain

$$\tau(v_i(A_1) \circ v_j(A_1)) = \mathrm{tr}\,(v_i(A_1)v_j(A_1)) = \sum_{h=0}^{d} m_h v_i(\theta_h) v_j(\theta_h)$$

and

$$\tau(v_i(A_1)) = \tau(v_i(A_1) \circ J) = \mathrm{tr}\,(v_i(A_1)J) = \mathrm{tr}\,(v_i(\theta_0)J) = |X| v_i(\theta_0).$$

Hence $\{v_i\}_{i=0}^d$ coincide with the predistance polynomials $\{p_i\}_{i=0}^d$ of the graph (X, R_1). Therefore we have $p_d(\theta_h) = P_d(h)$ for each $h \in \{0, 1, \ldots, d\}$.

Next, we prove that (1) follows from (2) in Theorem 1.2. Suppose $p_d(\theta_h) = P_l(h)$ holds for each $h \in \{0, 1, \ldots, d\}$. Then we have

$$\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{d} \frac{\theta_0 - \theta_j}{\theta_i - \theta_j} = -\frac{m_i p_d(\theta_i)}{p_d(\theta_0)} = -\frac{m_i P_l(i)}{k_l} = -Q_i(l)$$

for each $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, d\}$. By Theorem 1.1, $\mathfrak{X}$ is a P-polynomial association scheme with respect to A_1.

Acknowledgments. Both of authors are supported by the fellowship of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. The authors thank Edwin van Dam for pointing out that the value in Theorem 1.1 can be expressed by the predistance polynomial. The authors are also grateful to Kazumasa Nomura, Hajime Tanaka and Paul Terwilliger for careful reading and insightful comments.

REFERENCES

[1] E. Bannai and T. Ito. *Algebraic combinatorics. I.* The Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Co. Inc., Menlo Park, CA, 1984.

[2] D. Cvetković, P. Rowlinson and S. Simić. *Eigenspaces of graphs.* Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications vol. 66, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.

[3] E. R. van Dam. The spectral excess theorem for distance-regular graphs: a global (over)view. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 15(1): Research Paper 129, 10, 2008.

[4] P. Delsarte. An algebraic approach to the association schemes of coding theory. *Philips Res. Rep. Suppl.*, (10):vi+97, 1973.

[5] M. A. Fiol and E. Garriga. From local adjacency polynomials to locally pseudo-distance-regular graphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 71(2):162–183, 1997.

[6] M. A. Fiol, S. Gago and E. Garriga A simple proof of the spectral excess theorem for distance-regular graphs. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 432(9): 2418–2422, 2010.

[7] H. Kurihara and H. Nozaki. A characterization of Q-polynomial association schemes. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 119(1): 57–62, 2012.

[8] K. Nomura and P. Terwilliger. Tridiagonal matrices with nonnegative entries. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 434: 2527–2538, 2011.

Mathematical Institute, Tohoku University, Aramaki-Aza-Aoba 6-3, Aoba-ku, Sendai 980-8578, Japan
E-mail address: `sa9d05@math.tohoku.ac.jp`

Graduate School of Information Sciences, Tohoku University, Aramaki-Aza-Aoba 6-3-09, Aoba-ku, Sendai 980-8579, Japan
E-mail address: `nozaki@ims.is.tohoku.ac.jp`